

**A4 GLOBE ROUNDABOUT - TOUCAN CROSSING / SPEED LIMIT CHANGES
FORMAL CONSULTATION**

Name	Date	Comments	Opinion		Councils' response	Is it a relevant objections?
			For	Against		
-	31/07/14	No objections	✓		Not necessary.	N/A
-	31/07/14	No objections	✓		Not necessary.	N/A
-	31/07/14	50mph seems high to have a toucan crossing in. Can this be reduced down to 40mph?		✓	The crossing would have speed detection loops at a suitable distance back from the crossing, which detects vehicle speeds and if a particular car's speed is detected as too high, the crossing will not be triggered. Consultation has been undertaken with the Police who are satisfied that the speed reduction proposal is appropriate.	No
-	31/07/14	Concerned that the crossing will increase the already bad conjection that the area endures on a daily basis. Doesn't think a study has been done on how this will affect traffic thorough Saltford.		✓	Unable to move the crossing further eastwards, as there isn't the room in the northern verge to accommodate the layby and the central reserve becomes narrower further eastwards and therefore makes it more difficult to accommodate the width required for cyclists and pedestrians. The additional length of stagger will also take pedestrians further away from the desire line, making it less attractive to use.	Yes
-	31/07/14	The toucan is a good idea, but could have been part of a bigger scheme to improve the general travel network for cyclists. Thinks the delay should be minimal when the button is pressed to enforce the cyclists priority.	✓	✓	The Toucan crossings are part of a larger scheme to improve facilities for cyclists. It is phase 2 of 3 phase scheme, with phase 1 - shared cycle/ pedestrian improvements on the A4, already complete and phase 3 - Twerton Fork ramp, to provide a connection to the Bristol-Bath shared-use cycle network.	N/A
-	04/08/14	Asked for a copy of the proposals, as did not receive the original consultation documents.			The consultation documents were sent to the correct address for consideration.	N/A
-	10/08/14	Fully support the proposed speed reductions along the dual carriageway. Asked if their request to move the crossing could be achieved.	✓		Unable to move the crossing further eastwards, as I there isn't the room in the northern verge to accommodate the layby and the central reserve becomes narrower further eastwards and therefore makes it more difficult to accommodate the width required for cyclists and pedestrians. The additional length of stagger will also take pedestrians further away from the desire line, making it less attractive to use.	N/A